

Impact of Fly Ash amended Soil on Germination & Growth and Yield of Chick Pea (*Cicer Arietinum* Linn)

Barna Chakraborty*, Manab Kundu**, Jayanta Kumar Chowdhuri***

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out to find out the impact of fly ash amended soil on germination, growth and yield of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* Linn). The soil having pH around 5.8 was used for the study. The growth of chickpea plants was significantly affected by different fly ash amendments. The maximum response was induced by a 60% level (600 g) of fly ash, where an increase in all the parameters of growth were recorded. Fly ash at 100% level (1000 g) was harmful for plant growth. The result was quite promising as germination was found to be highest in 40% level (400g) of fly ash amendment and all other characters of growth and yield suggested 60% amendments was the best amendment over control.

Keywords: Fly ash; Kolaghat Thermal Power Plant; Chick Pea; DAS; Germination.

Introduction

Fly ash, a finely divided residue resulting from the combustion of coals in the thermal power plants is regarded as an amorphous ferro-alumina-silicate mineral containing the naturally occurring essential elements similar that of soil except humus and nitrogen (Tripathi *et al* 1997) The huge quantity of fly ash produced due to burning of coals creates problems not only for its safe disposals but also the rising problems of air pollution due to its fine particle size and water pollution due to the leaching effects. Every year Indian thermal power plants produces more than hundred million tons of fly ash, which is expected to reach 175 million tons in near future (Nidhi, 2003). This large volume of fly ash occupies large area of land and possesses threat to environment (Sharma and Kalra 2006). However a judicious amendment strategy has to be developed to abate the land pollution from the heavy metal incorporation in the fly ash (Sikkar and Kansal 1995). Fly ash threatening the environment due to presence of organic carbon & toxic substance, although now fly ash is used as an agricultural inputs (Jala and Goyal 2006) due to availability of different macro and micro

Author's Affiliation: *Dept. of Zoology, DDE, Vidyasagar University, Midnapur-721102, West Bengal, India.
Strengthening Rural Decentralization Cell, WBSRDA, P&RD Dept., Govt. of West Bengal, *Dept. of Zoology, DDE, Vidyasagar University, Midnapur-721102, West Bengal, India.

Reprint's request: Barna Chakraborty, Dept. of Zoology, DDE, Vidyasagar University, Midnapur-721102, West Bengal, India.

E-mail : barna2020@gmail.com

elements which are very important for growth of the plants. Use of fly ash in agriculture provides a feasible alternative for its safe disposal to improve the soil environment and enhance the crop productivity. Among the pulses chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is an important one which is also known as gram, Bengal gram or Chola. It is the earliest domesticated crop in the Mediterranean and Middle Eastern Region (Vavilov 1951). On the basis of cultivated area chickpea stands for 19th position amongst the important crops and is grown in 34 countries of the world. In Bangladesh it is the third major pulse crop after grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) and lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) (Islam 1981). In the Indian subcontinent the crop is grown during winter on the soil conserved from the preceding monsoon season (Ali, 1986). The utility of fly-ash as a soil amendment has been so far tested for various crops. Here is an attempt has been

Table 1: Characteristic of fly ash used in the experimental plot

Chemical Properties	%
Silica	52.68
Total Sulphur	0.070
Organic Carbon	2.06
pH	7.7
Major elements	%
Fe	1.42
S	0.070
P	0.015
N	0.059
Minor elements	ppm
As	1.23
Cd	0.21
Co	2.24
Cu	21.50
Ni	1.95
Mn	209.3
Zn	63.6

taken to conduct the study of the effect of fly ash as a potential sources of soil amendment, on germination %, growth and yield of Chick pea.

Materials and Methods

Fly ash sample collected from dumping area of thermal power plant, Kolaghat, Purba Medinipur, West Bengal was used for study. These power plant uses bituminous coal as a fuel which contains high amount of ash (upto 40%), sulphur and heavy metals in varying proportions. Characteristics of fly ash including different elemental compositions used in the experimental plot are given below.

Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline potassium permanganate method

(Subbiah and Asija, 1956). pH was estimated following the method of Jackson (1967). Organic carbon was determined by Walkley and Black method (1934). Available micronutrients (DTPA extractable) Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn and other heavy metals were analyzed by DTPA method using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978).

Amendment of soil with fly ash- The soil having a pH of 5.8 of the experimental site mixed with compost manure (Soil: Manure = 3:1) was dried under shed. The experiment was carried in earthen pots (30 cm diameter). Experimental set up included five treatments with three replications. Different amendments of fly-ash and soil were prepared by mixing of these two in proportionate ratio viz 0%, 20%, 40%, 60% and 100% to make different treatments coded as T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ respectively. Soil devoid of fly ash 0% (1000gm. soil) was treated as control. Rest of the pots received 20% Fly ash (800gm. soil+ 200gm. fly ash), 40% fly ash (600gm. soil+400gm. fly ash), 60% fly ash (400 gm soil+600gm. fly ash and 100 % fly ash (devoid of soil). Total 15 pots were prepared for the experiments, each pot filled with 2 kg of each type of amended soil. Seeds of chickpea were obtained from local market. Ten seeds of Bengal gram were sown in each pot and watered at regular interval and kept under sun for further study. Data of germination % and survival of seedlings were taken into consideration; four plants of uniform size were maintained in each pot. Periodic observation of plant growth, yield and yield attributes following the germination of

seeds were recorded, all those collected data were statistically analyzed for each character separately.

Results and Discussion

Effect of Fly ash on vegetative growth of Chick pea (Cicer arietinum Linn.) - 5 DAS

Table 3: Effect of Fly ash on vegetative growth of Chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* Linn.)- 60DAS*

Treatments Fly ash (%)	Number of nodes	Leaf area (sq.cm)	Root Length (cm.)	Suppression of plant
T ₀ (0%)	8	126	11	21
T ₁ (20%)	10	134	18	25
T ₂ (40%)	9	185	18	30
T ₃ (60%)	11	199	15	33
T ₄ (100%)	11	199	15	33
CD at 5%	3.67	0.22	2.38	4.04
CD at 1%	5.34	0.32	3.47	5.88

experimental pot (Table 2).

Effect of Fly ash on vegetative growth of Chick pea (Cicer arietinum Linn)- 60DAS

Analyzed data in respect of all the morphological characters like, number of nodes, leaf area, root length and height of the plants raised in experimental pot under different doses of FA amended soil revealed that treatments (0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 100%) differed significantly with each other. However plants in 60 days of maturity showing more or less highest results in relation to the growth in all respect with 60% FA amended soil (Table 3).

Effect of Fly ash on yield and yield attributes of Chick pea (Cicer arietinum Linn)- 90DAS

Effect of fly ash amendment was studied

Table 4: Effect of Fly ash on yield and yield attribute of Chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* Linn.)- 90 DAS*

Treatments (Fly ash %)	Days to fruiting	No. of pods /plant	Pod weight (mg.)	Seed yield/plant (gm.)	Weight of 100 seeds (gm.)
T ₀ (0%)	32	19.4	72	11.39	26
T ₁ (20%)	30	22.6	78	12.45	27
T ₂ (40%)	31	24.2	80	14.29	29
T ₃ (60%)	29	30.2	85	16.75	32
T ₄ (100%)	-	-	-	-	-
at 5%	4.23	3.93	8.90	3.97	2.60
CD at 1%	6.16	5.72	12.95	5.78	3.78

*DAS=Days After Sowing

with respect to the different yield and yield attributes in 90 days mature plants (Table 4). Results indicated that the fly ash (FA) amended soil showed an early fruiting tendency where the plants in control pot it was delayed. Similarly a gradual increase in number of pods, individual pod weight and seed yield in each plant was observed with the application of higher grades of fly ash and which was highest with 60% amendment at the ninety days. The weight of 100 seeds varied 26-32gm which was highest (32gm) in 60% FA amendment. All the characters regarding yield and yield attributes showed distinct significant difference among all the doses. The highest pod number 30.2 was obtained in the plants treated with 60% Fly ash and the lowest was 19.4 in the control pot.

In the present study suppression occurred at very high ash level where seeds were inhibited for germination corroborated the findings of Singh *et al* (1997) who observed that lower application of fly ash to the soil stimulated seed germination as well as seedling growth although higher doses either delays or drastically inhibits plant growth along with other specific parameters. However in the present study the results in relation to the suppression in seed germination vis a vis death of plant at 100% fly ash level also coincides with the findings of Chou *et al* (2005) as they recorded the death of chrysanthemum at 75 % and 100% F.A amendments. Singh and Siddiqui (2003) studied that the adverse effect due to higher level of ash application was attributed to salinity caused by higher levels of sulfate, chloride, carbonate and bicarbonate in fly-ash amended soil. The increase in available major nutrients status in soil due to fly ash application was reported by Khan and Quasim (2008) and Ram *et al* (2011) although the increase availability major nutrients except K, concluded by Dey *et al* (2012). However in the present study the better germination of chickpea seeds in FA amended soil over control perhaps due to the enhancement of soil pH and similar

observation was recorded by Moliner and street (1982) who reported that addition of fly ash to the soil neutralizes the acidity to a level suitable for agriculture, depending on the initial pH of the soil. Elscowi *et al* (1981), Druzinal *et al* (1983) and Wong and Wong (1989) observed that fly ash incorporation in the soil also increased the availability of sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, boron, sulphates and other nutrients excluding nitrogen. It was recorded by Karmakar *et al* (2009) that organic carbon was increased with the application of FA and FYM (Farm Yard Manure). The beneficial effect of fly ash on improvement of soil health in respect of physico-chemical parameters, nutritional status and microbial population may be due to the cumulative effect of improvement in individual physico-chemical characteristics (Yeledhalli *et al*, 2008). Khan and Khan (1994) observed that a gradual increase in the concentration of fly ash from 40-80% induced an appreciable increase in the number of flower/plant compared to the control. The present study was more or less similar with above findings. Mittra *et al* (2003) recorded that application of FA reduced bulk density which helped better pegging in soil and enhance pod formation. The positive effect of fly ash on yield was also reported by Aggarwal *et al* (2009) in wheat and sorghum and by Arivazhagan *et al* (2011) in rice, wheat, maize, ragi. The present observation on Fly ash application in respect to yield and yield attributes of chickpea with proportionate level of soil also was in the same agreement.

Conclusion

The present study revealed that the fly ash could be beneficial for enhancing the soil quality and plant growth. Although higher ash amendments (60%,100%) might have produced adverse effect in terms of inhibition on the germination of the chickpea seed, still the most suitable treatment for improvement

of plant growth and crop yield was 60% fly ash with the proportionate level of soil, as it recorded the maximum crop yield (maximum number of pods). Since chickpea grows well in fly-ash it can be used judiciously as an ideal soil ameliorates in acid lateritic soil for augmenting yield of gram.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful Dr. A. K. Nandi and Dr. Prakash Karmakar, Department of Botany, Vidyasagar University for their valuable suggestion. The authors also wish to pay their sincere gratitude to Dr. A. K. Panigrahi Department of Zoology, Kalyani University for extending his generous help related to this work.

References

1. Aggarwal S, Singh GR and Yadav BR. Utilization of fly ash for crop production: Effect on the growth of wheat and Sorghum Crops and soil properties. *J Agril Physics*. 2009; 9: 20-23.
2. Ali MM. Plant population and row width on lentils. M.Sc. Thesis. American Univ. of Beirut. 85 p BBS. 2000. A Pocket book of Agricultural Statistics. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning, Peoples Republic of Bangladesh: 1986.
3. Arivazhagan K, Ravichandran M, Dube SK, Mathur VK, Khandakar RK, Yagnanarayana, K, Pasha MMK, Sinha AK, Sarangi BD, Tripathi VKM, Gupta SK, Singh R, Ali M, Thakur AS and Narayan R. Effect of coal fly ash on agriculture crops: Show case project on use of fly ash in Agriculture in and around Thermal power station area of national thermal power corporation Ltd., India. World of Coal Ash (WOCA) Conference-May 9- 12, 2011, in Denver, CO, USA. 2011.
4. Chou, Sheng-Fu J; Chou, Mei-In M; Stucki, Joseph W; Warnock, Daniel; Chemler, Joseph A & Pepple, Mark A. Plant Growth in Sandy Soil/Compost Mixture and Commercial Pest Moss both Amended with Illinois Coal Fly Ash. World of Coal Ash (WOCA), April 11-15, Lexington, Kentucky, USA. 2005. <http://www.flyash.info>.
5. Dey BS, Panigrahi S, Panigrahi T and Panda RB. Agricultural utilization of fly ash – a way for sustainable agriculture practice and its environmental significance. *Discovery Nature*. 2012; 1(1): 11-14.
6. Druzina VD, Miroshchachenko ED and Chertov OD. Effect of industrial pollution on nitrogen and ash content in meadow phytocoenotic plants. *Botanicheskii Zhurnal*. 1983; 68: 1583-1591.
7. Elseewi AA, Straughan IR & Page AL. Sequential cropping of fly ash amended soil: effects on soil chemical properties and mineral composition of plants. *Sci Total Environ*. 1980; 15: 247-259.
8. Islam MQ, Sarker AT, Quader M and Begum K. Status of chick pea improvement at BARI. Proceedings of the national workshop on pulses at Joydebpur. Dhaka. Ed. Kaul, A.K. 1982, 56-64. 1981.
9. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd.; 1967, 498.
10. Jala S, Goyal D. Fly ash as a soil amendment for improving crop production – A review. *Bioresour Technol*. 2006; 97: 1136-1147.
11. Jamwal Nidhi. Looks the ways to utilize fly ash. *Down to Earth*. 2003; 12(3): 1-5.
12. Karmakar S, Mitra BN and Ghosh BC. Influence of Industrial Solid Wastes on Soil-Plant Interaction in Rice Under Acid Lateritic Soil. World of Coal Ash (WOCA). Conference held May 4-7, in Lexington, KY, USA. 2009.
13. Khan MJ and Qasim M. Integrated use of boiler ash as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner with NPK in calcareous soil. *Songklanakar J Sci Tech*. 2008; 30(3): 281-289.
14. Khan Mujeebur Rahman and Khan M Wajid. The effect of fly ash on plant growth and yield of tomato. *Environmental Pollution*. 1994; 92(2): 105-111.
15. Lindsay WL and Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese & copper. *Soil Sci Soc Amer J*. 1978; 42: 421-428.
16. Mitra BN, Karmakar S, Swaine DK. Fly ash – A potential source of soil amendment and component of integrated plant nutrient supply system. In: International ash utilization symposium Center for Applied Energy Research. University of Kentucky; 2003: 28.
17. Moliner AM and Street JJ. Effect of fly ash and

- lime on growth on composition of corn (*Zea mays* L.) on acid sandy soil. *Soil Crop Sci Soc Fla Proc.* 1982; 41: 217-220.
18. Ram LC, Masto RE, Singh S, Tripathi RC, Jha SK, Srivastava NK, Sinha AK, Selvi VA and Sinha A. An Appraisal of Coal Fly Ash Soil Amendment Technology (FASAT) of Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research (CIMFR). *World Aca of Sci Engi And Tech.* 2011; 52: 703-714.
 19. Sharma Sudhir K, Kalra Naveen. Effect of flyash incorporation on soil properties and productivity of crops: A review. *Journal of scientific and industrial research: JSIR.- New Delhi: Council, ISSN 0022-4456, ZDB-ID 4104080.* 2006; 65(5): 383-390.
 20. Sikka R, & Kansal BD. Effect of fly ash application on yield and nutrient composition of rice, wheat and on pH and available nutrient status of soils. *Bioresource Technology.* 1995; 51: 199-203.
 21. Singh LP and Siddiqui ZA. Effects of fly ash and helminthosporium oryzae on growth and yield of three cultivars of rice. *Bioresource Technol.* 2003; 86: 73-78.
 22. Singh SN, Kulshreshtha K, Ahmad KJ. Impact of fly ash soil amendment on seed germination, seedling growth and metal composition of *Vicia faba*. *L Ecol Eng.* 1997; 9: 203-208.
 23. Subbiah BV and Asija GL. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science.* 1956; 25: 259-260.
 24. Tripathi A, and RK Sahu. Effect of coal fly ash on growth and yield of wheat. *J Environ Biol.* 1997; 18(2): 131-135.
 25. Vavilov NI. The origin, variation, maturity and breeding of cultivated plant. (In An annotated bibliography of chickpea. *Genetics and Breeding.* 1951; 1915-1983. Ed. Singh, KB, Malhotra, RS and Muehlbauer FJ. 1984. ICARDA/ICRISAT joint publication.
 26. Walkley A and IA Black. An Examination of Degtjareff Method for Determining Soil Organic Matter and a Proposed Modification of the Chromic Acid Titration Method. *Soil Sci.* 1934; 37: 29-37.
 27. Wong MH & Wong JWC. Germination and seedling growth of vegetable crops in fly ash amended soil. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.* 1989; 26: 23-35.
 28. Yeledhalli NA, Prakash SS, Ravi MV and Narayanarao K. Long term effect of fly ash on crop yield and soil properties. *Kar J of Agric Sci.* 2008; 21(4): 507-512.